

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,927	10/10/2000	Hideki Usuki	DAIN: 563	2321
7	7590 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. 1421 Prince Street, Suite 210			XU, LING X	
	A 22314-2805		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(1.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.1
Advisory Action	09/684,927	USUKI ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ling X. Xu	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and of the control of the cont	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	a n in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropri- originally set in the final Offic	e MPEP ate extension ate extension ce action: or
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 August 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	pellant's Brief must be filed with R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	nin the period set forth i f the appeal.	n
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furtha	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance be application. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because.	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b)	will be entered and	an
explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	fuld be rejected is provided belo	w or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0.⊠ Other: <u>No amendment after final has been filed</u>	S PR	Stephen Stein IMARY EXAMINER	
·			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is the position of the examiner that the rejections are still appropriate and are maintained.